

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/723,753	1	1/25/2003	Myoung-Hui Choi	P/2292-81	8968
2352	7590	08/25/2005		EXAM	INER
OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			TRINH,	MINH N	
NEW YORK		0368403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	•			3729	

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			<b>&amp;</b>	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/723,753	CHOI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Minh Trinh	3729	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE - Extra afte - If th - If N - Fail	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR A MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>23 June 2005</u> .		
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex part</i> e Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applic	cation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-6 and 10 is/a	re withdrawn from consideratio	n.	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 7-9 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	соггесtion is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a	)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
	1 Cortified copies of the priority does	monto have been received		

# Priori

12)⊠ Ackno	wledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All	All b) Some * c) None of:		
1.🛛	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attac	hmer	ıt(s)
-------	------	-------

	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) 🔲	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
, —	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

4)	Ш	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
		Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,753 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's election of Group II, claims 7-9 in the reply filed on 6/23/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Thus, Claims 1-6 and 10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected product invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 6/23/05. Note that a typos error is noticed on the reply (about page 1) that the elected claims are 7-9 instead of claims 9-9.

The Examination on the merits of claims 7-9 as follows:

# Specification

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The following title is suggested: "Method of making chip type power inductor ".
- 3. The abstract should have been revised to read on the Method invention.

### **Double Patenting**

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA

Application/Control Number: 10/723,753

Art Unit: 3729

1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed <u>terminal disclaimer</u> in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 7-9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 8-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,917,274 to Hong et al. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully claimed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Hong et al claim a method for forming chip inductor of the present invention including the steps:

preparing green sheet having magnetic and non magnetic layer on a carrier film, forming cutting lines on the magnetic layer green sheet and the non-magnetic layer green sheet, forming via holes on the non-magnetic layer green sheet where the cutting lines are formed, and forming an electrode pattern at an upper surface of the non-magnetic layer green sheet (see claim 8, lines 1-12).

picking up unnecessary parts from the magnetic layer green sheet and the nonmagnetic layer green sheet and thus corresponding remaining parts of the magnetic substance to the picked up parts of the non-magnetic substance or corresponding the Art Unit: 3729

picked up parts of the magnetic substance to remaining pads of the non-magnetic substance (see claim 8, lines 13-15),

stacking a plurality of layers by constituting the magnetic layer and the non-magnetic layer where via holes and electrode patterns are formed as one unit in a state that a non-magnetic layer where cutting lines and electrode patterns are not formed is inserted (see claim 8, lines 15-22),

stacking a cover layer composed of a magnetic layer at upper and lower surfaces of the stacked layers, firing the stacked body, and forming external electrodes at an outer surface of the fired stack body (see claim 8, lines 23-25).

As applied to claims 8-9, Hong's reference claims limitation of these claims (see claims 9-10).

## **Prior Art References**

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Prior art references are cited for their teaching of a method for forming chip inductor or the like.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4569. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,753 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mt

8/16/05

Primary Examiner

Group 3729